



# Epsilon Sigma Phi



## Deadline.... August 24

\$\$ MONEY... MONEY... MONEY... MONNN..E \$\$

Epsilon Sigma Phi has **\$500.00** that will be distributed to poster session winners this year. This is a chance to display your most outstanding programming at the professional meeting. We will have prize dollars for each of three program areas: FACS, 4-H and A&NR.

**1<sup>st</sup> place - \$100.00**

**2<sup>nd</sup> Place - \$50.00**

**3<sup>rd</sup> Place - \$25.00**

Submit your poster session entry today. **Deadline is August 24.**

**You must be a current dues paying member to participate.**

Following pages include specific criteria and score sheets on judging for each program area. Score sheets are designed to parallel specifications for association state and national meetings; enabling you to present your poster at multiple meetings.

Please send by FAX, E-mail or USPS to  
**FAX 706-868-3418**

**Shirley Williamson, ESP  
Columbia County Extension  
3300B Evans to Locks Road  
Martinez, Georgia 30907  
[shirleyw@uga.edu](mailto:shirleyw@uga.edu)**

**Deadline: 8/24/11**

**Your poster display can include the past three years of programming, but can not have been displayed at a previous Epsilon Sigma Phi state meeting.**

Poster set up will be 8/31 from 9:15-10:15 a.m. (additional information will be e-mailed on August 26)

Name:	CEA	CEC	State Staff	Other
County:	District	Phones: office	cell	FAX
Address:	City:	Zip:		
E-mail:	ESP dues paid.	Yes	No	
Please mark one:				
Poster Category:	Ag & Natural Resources	Family & Consumer Sciences	4-H/Youth	

See specific judging criteria for each program area

Title of Poster

**Summary: 50 words or less (to be printed in poster session program) If your summary is not 50 words or less, the poster session coordinator will be responsible for editing your summary.**

**Agriculture and Natural Resources Poster Session Judging Criteria**  
**ESP State Meeting**

**Scientific/Educational merit (60 points)**

Hypothesis or need for research/educational activity clearly stated. \_\_\_\_\_(15 points)

Sound research/education methodology used to prepare project. \_\_\_\_\_(20 points)

Presentation of research/activity adequate for reader to gain understanding. \_\_\_\_\_(10 points)

Results of research/educational activity clearly stated. \_\_\_\_\_(15 points)

**Poster Presentation (30 points)**

Uses a good combination of text and graphics to explain message (presents one to three mainpoints, etc) \_\_\_\_\_(5 points)

Ease of reading text and graphics on poster. \_\_\_\_\_(10 points)  
(Print or graphics easy to read, easy to interpret, are concise, easy to understand sentences)

Information on poster is balance and organized \_\_\_\_\_(10 points)  
(Information is not crowded, poster has logical order)

Poster uses colors and contrast to visually attract readers \_\_\_\_\_(5 points)

**Follows instructions for presentation**

Title, author names(s) affiliations and addresses appears on poster \_\_\_\_\_(5 points)

Abstract appears on poster \_\_\_\_\_(5 points)

Total points \_\_\_\_\_

**Family & Consumer Sciences Poster Session Judging Form Criteria**  
**ESP State Meeting**

**Issue Identification**

Address critical concerns of Family and Consumer Sciences applicable to Extension Teaching. \_\_\_\_\_ (20 points)

**Program Description**

Innovative and creative approach \_\_\_\_\_ (10 points)

Used accepted research methods and results presented objectively. \_\_\_\_\_ (15 points)

Findings used to strengthen Extension teaching and/or program development \_\_\_\_\_ (10 points)

**Program Impact and Implications**

Number of people reached (volunteers, participants, collaborators) \_\_\_\_\_ (15 points)

Conclusions and implications sustained by results \_\_\_\_\_ (20 points)

Clarity of presentation/readability \_\_\_\_\_ (10 points)

Total Points \_\_\_\_\_

**4-H Poster Session Judging Form**  
**ESP State Meeting**

Description of program/project to be presented (new, or newly interpreted, curriculum, delivery models, management methods, technology, research, evaluation etc.) clear and complete. \_\_\_\_\_ (20 points)

Targeted outcomes and participants of experiential program clearly defined. \_\_\_\_\_ (10 points)

Research base or ‘best practice’ appropriate \_\_\_\_\_ (10 points)

**Evaluation/Results** data shows program achieved targeted outcomes. \_\_\_\_\_ (15 points)

Poster format appropriate to present content as described and program replication requirements included. \_\_\_\_\_ (15 points)

Contribution to new knowledge or new interpretation. \_\_\_\_\_ (10 points)

Appeal to Youth Development audience and recognition. \_\_\_\_\_ (10 points)

Abstract clearly communicates content and intent of proposal \_\_\_\_\_ (10 points)

Total points \_\_\_\_\_